

Addressing the Gap: The Importance of Mental Health Legislation and Policy

Sandeep Kotwal^{1*} 

¹ Knowledge Management Division, National Health Systems Resource Centre, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, New Delhi, India

* Corresponding author email address: sandkotwal@gmail.com

Received: 2022-06-28

Revised: 2022-07-20

Accepted: 2022-07-29

Published: 2022-10-01

The imperative to address the global mental health crisis has never been more urgent, as societies worldwide grapple with the ramifications of rapidly changing social landscapes, economic pressures, and the enduring impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. The discourse surrounding mental health has increasingly moved from the shadows to the forefront of public health discussions, revealing a stark gap in mental health legislation and policy that demands immediate attention. This letter aims to underscore the critical importance of developing and implementing robust mental health legislation and policies, drawing on recent scholarly contributions to the field. In light of these studies, it becomes evident that addressing the gap in mental health legislation and policy is not merely a matter of legal reform but a multifaceted challenge that requires a comprehensive approach encompassing financing, human resources, community care, and a steadfast commitment to human rights. As we move forward, it is imperative that governments, policymakers, and stakeholders worldwide prioritize the development and implementation of mental health policies that are responsive, inclusive, and grounded in the principles of equity and justice. In conclusion, the importance of mental health legislation and policy in shaping the future of global mental health care cannot be overstated. By drawing on the wealth of research and insights from scholars and practitioners in the field, we can begin to bridge the gap between the current state of mental health care and the aspirational goal of accessible, effective, and humane mental health services for all. Let this letter serve as a call to action for all involved in the creation and implementation of mental health policies to embrace innovation, collaboration, and a relentless pursuit of justice in the quest to improve mental health outcomes worldwide.

Keywords: Gap, Mental Health, Legislation, Policy.

How to cite this article:

Kotwal, S. (2022). Addressing the Gap: The Importance of Mental Health Legislation and Policy. *Interdisciplinary Studies in Society, Law, and Politics*, 1(2), 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.isslp.1.2.1>

The imperative to address the global mental health crisis has never been more urgent, as societies worldwide grapple with the ramifications of rapidly changing social landscapes, economic pressures, and the enduring impact of the COVID-19 pandemic. The discourse surrounding mental health has increasingly moved from the shadows to the forefront of public health discussions, revealing a stark gap in mental health legislation and policy that demands immediate attention. This letter aims to underscore the critical importance of

developing and implementing robust mental health legislation and policies, drawing on recent scholarly contributions to the field.

The World Health Organization has long advocated for the integration of mental health care into primary health systems, a recommendation echoed by studies such as those conducted by Bitta et al. (2017) in Kenya and Kigozi et al. (2010) in Uganda, which offer insightful assessments of the mental health care systems in their respective countries (Bitta et al., 2017; Kigozi et al., 2010). These studies highlight a common theme: the pervasive



underfunding of mental health services and the lack of comprehensive mental health policies that are capable of meeting the needs of the population.

The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic has further exacerbated mental health issues globally, making the call for effective mental health policy more pressing. Goldman et al. (2020) draw attention to the exacerbated mental health crises during the pandemic and the urgent need for policies that are adaptable and responsive to such unprecedented challenges (Goldman et al., 2020). The pandemic has laid bare the fragility of existing mental health care systems and the critical gaps in mental health legislation that fail to protect the most vulnerable.

Kelly (2016) offer valuable perspectives on the intersection of mental health and human rights, arguing for a rights-based approach to mental health legislation that prioritizes the dignity and autonomy of individuals with mental health conditions (Kelly, 2016). The recognition of mental health as a fundamental human right is crucial in advancing mental health policies that are not only preventative but also inclusive, ensuring access to care for all segments of the population.

Furthermore, the work by Mk and Nj (2015) on mental health legislation emphasizes the necessity of legal frameworks that protect the rights of individuals with mental health conditions, advocating for laws that promote community care and prevent discrimination (Mk & Nj, 2015). O'Brien and Kydd (2013)'s analysis of New Zealand's mental health legislation history offers a compelling case study of the evolution of mental health care from a primarily institutional to a community-based approach, underscoring the importance of legislative flexibility and adaptability (O'Brien & Kydd, 2013).

The situation analyses conducted by Mugisha et al. (2017) across six countries provide a comprehensive overview of the health systems' contexts for integrating mental health into primary health care, identifying significant barriers such as inadequate financing, insufficient human resources, and the need for stronger policy and legislative support (Mugisha et al., 2017). These findings resonate with Saxena and Kline (2021)'s call for data-driven action and accountability in the global mental health 2030 agenda, emphasizing the need for robust data systems to inform policy and legislative decisions (Saxena & Kline, 2021).

In light of these studies, it becomes evident that addressing the gap in mental health legislation and policy is not merely a matter of legal reform but a multifaceted challenge that requires a comprehensive approach encompassing financing, human resources, community care, and a steadfast commitment to human rights. As we move forward, it is imperative that governments, policymakers, and stakeholders worldwide prioritize the development and implementation of mental health policies that are responsive, inclusive, and grounded in the principles of equity and justice.

In conclusion, the importance of mental health legislation and policy in shaping the future of global mental health care cannot be overstated. By drawing on the wealth of research and insights from scholars and practitioners in the field, we can begin to bridge the gap between the current state of mental health care and the aspirational goal of accessible, effective, and humane mental health services for all. Let this letter serve as a call to action for all involved in the creation and implementation of mental health policies to embrace innovation, collaboration, and a relentless pursuit of justice in the quest to improve mental health outcomes worldwide.

Authors' Contributions

Authors contributed equally to this article.

Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

Transparency Statement

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

None.

Declaration of Interest

The author report no conflict of interest.

Funding

According to the author, this article has no financial support.

Ethical Considerations

None.

References

- Bitta, M. A., Kariuki, S. M., Chengo, E., & Newton, C. R. (2017). An Overview of Mental Health Care System in Kilifi, Kenya: Results From an Initial Assessment Using the World Health Organization's Assessment Instrument for Mental Health Systems. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-017-0135-5>
- Goldman, M. L., Druss, B. G., Horvitz-Lennon, M., Norquist, G. S., Ptakowski, K. K., Brinkley, A., Greiner, M., Hayes, H., Hepburn, B., Jorgensen, S., Swartz, M. S., & Dixon, L. B. (2020). Mental Health Policy in the Era of COVID-19. *Psychiatric Services*. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.202000219>
- Kelly, B. D. (2016). Mental Health, Mental Illness, and Human Rights in India and Elsewhere: What Are We Aiming For? *Indian Journal of Psychiatry*. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5545.196822>
- Kigozi, F., Ssebunnya, J., Kizza, D., Cooper, S., & Ndyabangi, S. (2010). An Overview of Uganda's Mental Health Care System: Results From an Assessment Using the World Health Organization's Assessment Instrument for Mental Health Systems (WHO-AIMS). *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1752-4458-4-1>
- Mk, F., & Nj, D. (2015). Mental Health Legislation. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.26719/2015.21.7.527>
- Mugisha, J., Abdulmalik, J., Hanlon, C., Petersen, I., Lund, C., Upadhaya, N., Ahuja, S., Shidhaye, R., Mntambo, N., Alem, A., Gureje, O., & Kigozi, F. (2017). Health Systems Context(s) for Integrating Mental Health Into Primary Health Care in Six Emerald Countries: A Situation Analysis. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-016-0114-2>
- O'Brien, A., & Kydd, R. R. (2013). Compulsory Community Care in New Zealand Mental Health Legislation 1846-1992. *Sage Open*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244013490175>
- Saxena, S., & Kline, S. (2021). Countdown Global Mental Health 2030: Data to Drive Action and Accountability. *The Lancet Psychiatry*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366\(21\)00391-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2215-0366(21)00391-6)