


Enhancing Democratic Processes through Interdisciplinary Research

Leandro. Repetti^{1*} 

¹ Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Ankara Social Sciences University, Turkiye

* Corresponding author email address: leandrorepetti@asbu.edu.tr

Received: 2024-03-05

Revised: 2024-05-03

Accepted: 2024-05-12

Published: 2024-07-01

In an era where democracy faces multifaceted challenges ranging from political polarization to misinformation, the need for robust and adaptive democratic processes is more critical than ever. Enhancing these processes necessitates the integration of diverse academic disciplines to address complex societal issues comprehensively. Interdisciplinary research offers a promising pathway to achieve this goal by blending insights from various fields, fostering innovation, and producing holistic solutions that are more effective and inclusive. Enhancing democratic processes is a complex challenge that requires comprehensive, innovative, and inclusive solutions. Interdisciplinary research, by integrating diverse perspectives and expertise, offers a promising pathway to achieve this goal. By fostering holistic problem-solving, enhancing policy formulation, and promoting innovation, interdisciplinary approaches can significantly contribute to the robustness and adaptability of democratic systems. Overcoming the challenges of interdisciplinary research requires a concerted effort from academic institutions, funding bodies, policymakers, and the public. Together, we can build more resilient and responsive democratic processes that better serve the needs of our diverse and evolving societies.

Keywords: Democratic Processes, Interdisciplinary Research, Democracy.

How to cite this article:

Repetti, L. (2024). Enhancing Democratic Processes through Interdisciplinary Research. *Interdisciplinary Studies in Society, Law, and Politics*, 3(3), 1-3. <https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.isslp.3.3.1>

In an era where democracy faces multifaceted challenges ranging from political polarization to misinformation, the need for robust and adaptive democratic processes is more critical than ever. Enhancing these processes necessitates the integration of diverse academic disciplines to address complex societal issues comprehensively. Interdisciplinary research offers a promising pathway to achieve this goal by blending insights from various fields, fostering innovation, and producing holistic solutions that are more effective and inclusive.

Interdisciplinary research transcends traditional academic boundaries, merging methodologies, theories, and frameworks from multiple disciplines to address

complex problems. This approach is particularly vital in enhancing democratic processes, which inherently involve diverse facets such as political science, sociology, law, economics, and communication studies. Bark, Kragt, and Robson (2016) highlight the value of interdisciplinary projects in producing comprehensive and nuanced outcomes that single-discipline approaches might miss. These projects can provide richer insights into the mechanisms of democracy and identify innovative ways to improve them (Bark et al., 2016).

Benefits of interdisciplinary research in democratic processes include:

Integrative Problem-Solving: Democratic processes are influenced by numerous factors including legal frameworks, social norms, economic conditions, and



technological advancements. By incorporating perspectives from various disciplines, interdisciplinary research can offer a more holistic understanding of these influences and how they interact. For instance, Larson, Landers, and Begg (2011) emphasize the importance of interdisciplinary models in preparing scholars to tackle complex societal issues, thereby contributing to more robust and adaptive democratic processes (Larson et al., 2011).

Enhanced Policy Formulation: Interdisciplinary research can significantly improve policy formulation by integrating diverse viewpoints and expertise. Finney, Clark, and Nazroo (2018) discuss how interdisciplinary approaches in studying ethnicity, inequality, and place have led to more comprehensive and effective policies. Applying similar methodologies to democratic processes can result in policies that are more equitable, inclusive, and responsive to the needs of various societal groups (Finney et al., 2018).

Innovation and Creativity: Combining different disciplinary perspectives can foster innovation and creativity in addressing democratic challenges. Hartle et al. (2017) demonstrate how interdisciplinary academic programs can lead to innovative solutions in fields like food systems. Similarly, applying this creativity to democratic processes can result in novel approaches to voter engagement, electoral systems, and public participation (Hartle et al., 2017).

Numerous case studies underscore the efficacy of interdisciplinary research in enhancing democratic processes. Keefe et al. (2019) describe a team-based, interdisciplinary approach to improving quality of life in long-term care settings. Their multi-method, multi-sectoral research design can serve as a model for addressing democratic deficits by engaging diverse stakeholders and integrating various forms of knowledge (Keefe et al., 2019).

Krause-Jüttler, Weitz, and Bork (2022) provide another compelling example through their interdisciplinary collaborations in digital health research. By employing mixed methods, they were able to address complex health issues more effectively. Similar interdisciplinary collaborations in political science, sociology, and technology can enhance the resilience and adaptability of democratic systems, especially in the face of digital misinformation and cyber threats (Krause-Jüttler et al., 2022).

Daraio (2021) discusses the role of altmetrics in democratizing research evaluation, making it more inclusive and representative of diverse contributions. This principle can be extended to the evaluation of democratic processes. By adopting interdisciplinary metrics and evaluation methods, we can gain a more comprehensive understanding of democratic health and identify areas for improvement (Daraio, 2021).

Despite its benefits, interdisciplinary research faces several challenges. These include institutional barriers, differing terminologies and methodologies, and the complexity of coordinating across disciplines. Brown, Werbeloff, and Raven (2019) highlight these challenges but also point out that the potential for high impact makes overcoming them worthwhile. They suggest fostering a culture of collaboration and providing adequate support for interdisciplinary initiatives as crucial steps (Brown et al., 2019).

Vanstone et al. (2013) also underscore the importance of effective interdisciplinary supervision in doctoral research, suggesting that similar mentorship models can be applied to interdisciplinary research teams working on democratic processes. This can ensure that projects are well-coordinated and produce high-quality, impactful outcomes (Vanstone et al., 2013).

To maximize the impact of interdisciplinary research on democratic processes, several practical steps can be taken:

Promoting Interdisciplinary Education: Academic institutions should promote interdisciplinary programs that equip students with the skills and knowledge to tackle complex societal issues. This can foster a new generation of scholars adept at integrating diverse perspectives.

Facilitating Collaborations: Research funding bodies should prioritize interdisciplinary projects and facilitate collaborations across disciplines. Providing platforms for researchers from different fields to interact and share ideas can spark innovative solutions.

Integrating Technology: Leveraging digital tools and platforms can enhance the efficiency and reach of interdisciplinary research. Krause-Jüttler, Weitz, and Bork (2022) illustrate how digital health research can benefit from interdisciplinary collaborations. Similar approaches can be applied to democratic research, utilizing big data, artificial intelligence, and social media analytics.

Policy Integration: Policymakers should actively seek insights from interdisciplinary research to inform their decisions. This can ensure that policies are comprehensive, inclusive, and grounded in a broad base of knowledge.

Public Engagement: Engaging the public in interdisciplinary research projects can enhance their relevance and impact. Paoletti and Gonçalves (2017) demonstrate the benefits of interinstitutional networks in democratizing services for older people. Similar engagement strategies can be applied to democratic research, ensuring that diverse voices are heard and considered (Paoletti & Gonçalves, 2017).

Enhancing democratic processes is a complex challenge that requires comprehensive, innovative, and inclusive solutions. Interdisciplinary research, by integrating diverse perspectives and expertise, offers a promising pathway to achieve this goal. By fostering holistic problem-solving, enhancing policy formulation, and promoting innovation, interdisciplinary approaches can significantly contribute to the robustness and adaptability of democratic systems. Overcoming the challenges of interdisciplinary research requires a concerted effort from academic institutions, funding bodies, policymakers, and the public. Together, we can build more resilient and responsive democratic processes that better serve the needs of our diverse and evolving societies.

Authors' Contributions

Not applicable.

Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

Transparency Statement

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

None.

Declaration of Interest

The author reports no conflict of interest.

Funding

According to the author, this article has no financial support.

Ethical Considerations

None.

References

- Bark, R. H., Kragt, M., & Robson, B. (2016). Evaluating an Interdisciplinary Research Project: Lessons Learned for Organisations, Researchers and Funders. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(8), 1449-1459. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.08.004>
- Brown, R. R., Werbeloff, L., & Raven, R. P. J. M. (2019). Interdisciplinary Research and Impact. *Global Challenges*, 3(4). <https://doi.org/10.1002/gch2.201900020>
- Daraio, C. (2021). Altmetrics as an Answer to the Need for Democratization of Research and Its Evaluation. *Journal of Altmetrics*, 4(1), 5. <https://doi.org/10.29024/joa.43>
- Finney, N., Clark, K., & Nazroo, J. (2018). Opportunities and Challenges Doing Interdisciplinary Research: What Can We Learn From Studies of Ethnicity, Inequality and Place? *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 45(17), 3173-3187. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183x.2018.1480995>
- Hartle, J. C., Cole, S., Trepman, P., Chrisinger, B. W., & Gardner, C. D. (2017). Interdisciplinary Food-Related Academic Programs: A 2015 Snapshot of the United States Landscape. *Journal of Agriculture Food Systems and Community Development*, 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.5304/jafscd.2017.074.006>
- Keefe, J., Hande, M. J., Aubrecht, K., Daly, T., Cloutier, D., Taylor, D., Hoben, M., Stajduhar, K., Cook, H., Bourgeault, I. L., MacDonald, L. A., & Estabrooks, C. A. (2019). Team-Based Integrated Knowledge Translation for Enhancing Quality of Life in Long-Term Care Settings: A Multi-Method, Multi-Sectoral Research Design. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.15171/ijhpm.2019.123>
- Krause-Jüttler, G., Weitz, J., & Bork, U. (2022). Interdisciplinary Collaborations in Digital Health Research: Mixed Methods Case Study. *JMIR human factors*, 9(2), e36579. <https://doi.org/10.2196/36579>
- Larson, E., Landers, T., & Begg, M. D. (2011). Building Interdisciplinary Research Models: A Didactic Course to Prepare Interdisciplinary Scholars and Faculty. *Clinical and Translational Science*, 4(1), 38-41. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-8062.2010.00258.x>
- Paoletti, I., & Gonçalves, A. T. (2017). Interinstitutional Networks and Democratization of Services in Social Intervention With Older People in Portugal. *Research on Ageing and Social Policy*, 5(2), 109. <https://doi.org/10.17583/rasp.2017.2103>
- Vanstone, M., Hibbert, K., Kinsella, E. A., McKenzie, P. J., Pitman, A., & Lingard, L. (2013). Interdisciplinary Doctoral Research Supervision: A Scoping Review. *Canadian Journal of Higher Education*, 43(2), 42-67. <https://doi.org/10.47678/cjhe.v43i2.2403>