



Regulatory Challenges and Opportunities in the Cannabis Industry

Sepehr. Khajeh Naeeni^{1,2*} , Nilofar. Nouhi² 

¹ Department of Chemical Engineering, Lakehead University, 955 Oliver Road, Thunder Bay, ON P7B 5E1, Canada

² Department of Management, KMAN Research Institute, Richmond Hill, Ontario, Canada

* Corresponding author email address: skhajeh@lakeheadu.ca

Received: 2024-02-26

Revised: 2024-03-20

Accepted: 2024-03-27

Published: 2024-04-01

As the legalization of cannabis gains momentum globally, it becomes imperative to understand the regulatory challenges and opportunities this industry faces. The objective of this study was to investigate these elements through qualitative insights from stakeholders within the cannabis industry, exploring the complex interplay between regulatory frameworks and industry dynamics. This qualitative study was based on semi-structured interviews with 26 stakeholders in the cannabis industry, including growers, retailers, policymakers, and industry consultants. Participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure diverse perspectives. The data was analyzed using NVivo software to achieve thematic analysis and theoretical saturation. The analysis identified four main themes: Regulatory Complexity, Stakeholder Perspectives, Innovation and Adaptation, and Social and Economic Impact. These themes encompassed various subcategories such as Compliance Challenges, Legal Uncertainties, Market Entry Barriers, Growers' Concerns, Retailers' Strategies, Policymakers' Intentions, Product Development, Business Model Innovation, Community Engagement, and Economic Contributions. Each theme and its subcategories provided deep insights into the varied impacts of regulations on different stakeholders within the industry. The study concluded that navigating the cannabis regulatory landscape is highly complex and requires stakeholders to engage in continual adaptation to remain compliant and competitive. Effective regulatory frameworks should balance public health concerns with economic opportunities, supporting innovation while ensuring safety and compliance. The findings underscore the necessity for policies that are responsive to the evolving needs of this dynamic industry.

Keywords: Cannabis Industry, Regulatory Challenges, Stakeholder Perspectives, Innovation, Economic Impact, Qualitative Research.

How to cite this article:

Khajeh Naeeni, N., & Nouhi, N. (2024). Regulatory Challenges and Opportunities in the Cannabis Industry. *Interdisciplinary Studies in Society, Law, and Politics*, 3(2), 32-38. <https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.isslp.3.2.6>

1. Introduction

The legalization of cannabis has emerged as a significant global phenomenon, eliciting a range of regulatory responses aimed at navigating the complex socio-economic and public health landscapes. As various jurisdictions endeavor to craft policies that protect public health while curbing illegal markets, understanding the multifaceted impacts of these regulatory frameworks becomes essential (Aaronson &

Rothschild-Elyassi, 2021; Adams et al., 2021; Amroussia et al., 2020; Borodovsky et al., 2021; Hall & Lynskey, 2020; Robinson et al., 2020; Rosic et al., 2021; Wadsworth et al., 2022).

The evolution of cannabis regulation presents a unique case study in regulatory science, a discipline that seeks to optimize policy outcomes through evidence-based strategies and systematic analysis. As Borodovsky and Budney (2018) suggest, the development of cannabis



regulatory frameworks requires a careful consideration of risk-benefit balances, particularly concerning mental health disorders (Borodovsky & Budney, 2018). These considerations are essential in structuring regulations that safeguard public health without stifling the potential benefits of cannabis, particularly in medicinal contexts (Borodovsky et al., 2021).

The debate around cannabis legalization also intersects with public health concerns, as highlighted by Hall and Lynskey (2020), who assess the impact of recreational cannabis legalization on public health in the United States (Hall & Lynskey, 2020). Their findings underscore the necessity for ongoing research to understand the long-term health implications of legalized cannabis, paralleling the concerns raised about young adults' perceptions and behaviors in regions with legalized recreational cannabis (Amroussia et al., 2020). This emerging data contributes to a more nuanced understanding of the behavioral shifts that may accompany changes in cannabis policy.

Internationally, the regulatory landscapes vary significantly, reflecting diverse political, cultural, and social contexts. For instance, Erku et al. (2022) provide insight into the multi-stakeholder views on the use and access to medicinal cannabis in Australia (Erku et al., 2022), revealing complexities similar to those observed in other regions, such as Canada and Brazil (Souza et al., 2022). These variations offer a rich tapestry of models from which to draw lessons and best practices. Particularly, the matrix of regulatory options mapped by Belackova et al. (2018) illustrates the spectrum of approaches that can be tailored to specific national contexts, underscoring the importance of adaptable and responsive regulatory frameworks (Belackova et al., 2018).

Moreover, the integration of cannabis into legal markets has significant socio-economic implications. As described by Wadsworth et al. (2022), consumer perceptions in Canada reflect a broad acceptance and normalization of cannabis products within the legal framework, which can have profound economic benefits in terms of job creation and tax revenue (Wadsworth et al., 2022). However, this shift also necessitates robust regulatory mechanisms to manage the potential increase in cannabis use and associated risks, as discussed by Robinson et al. (2020) regarding the impact on

adolescent perceptions in Canada (Robinson et al., 2020).

The need for a balanced regulatory approach is further complicated by the international context, where countries like those in Africa face unique challenges that require tailored solutions (Norman, 2023). These challenges highlight the global disparity in regulatory capacities and the need for international cooperation and knowledge exchange to establish effective cannabis policies.

This study aims to contribute to this ongoing dialogue by examining the regulatory experiences of various stakeholders in the cannabis industry through semi-structured interviews. By achieving a deeper understanding of these experiences, this research hopes to identify key challenges and opportunities that can inform more effective and equitable cannabis regulations. The findings from this study are intended not only to add to the scholarly discourse but also to provide practical insights for policymakers grappling with the complex task of regulating this dynamic and controversial industry.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Design and Participants

This qualitative study utilized a semi-structured interview format to explore regulatory challenges and opportunities within the cannabis industry. Our approach was informed by grounded theory principles, aiming to achieve theoretical saturation where no new themes emerged from data collection, indicating that the collected data was sufficient to understand the phenomena under investigation.

Participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure a diverse representation of experiences and perspectives across different segments of the cannabis industry, including growers, retailers, policymakers, and industry consultants. We targeted individuals with at least three years of experience in the sector to ensure a depth of understanding and insight into the regulatory landscape.

Participants were informed about the purpose of the study, their rights as participants, and the confidential nature of the study. Written consent was obtained from all participants.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Semi-Structured Interview

The data was collected through semi-structured interviews, which were conducted using a flexible interview guide to allow for the exploration of themes as they emerged naturally during the discussions. Each interview lasted approximately 60-90 minutes and was carried out via video conferencing tools to accommodate geographical dispersion of participants. All interviews were recorded with the consent of the interviewees.

2.3. Data Analysis

The recorded interviews were transcribed verbatim and imported into NVivo, a qualitative data analysis software, which facilitated the organization, coding, and thematic analysis of the data. Initial codes were generated from the data through an inductive process, and these codes were iteratively refined and grouped into larger themes in alignment with the principles of grounded theory. The analysis continued until

theoretical saturation was achieved, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the regulatory issues within the cannabis industry.

3. Findings and Results

In this qualitative study, a total of 26 participants were interviewed to explore the regulatory challenges and opportunities within the cannabis industry. The demographic characteristics of the participants varied, encompassing a diverse range of roles and experiences within the industry. Specifically, the participant group consisted of 8 growers, 6 retailers, 5 policymakers, and 7 industry consultants. Regarding gender, 15 participants were male and 11 were female. The participants were geographically dispersed across various states in the U.S., including states with both established and emerging legal cannabis markets. The age range of the participants was wide, spanning from 28 to 55 years, with a mean age of 39 years. This diversity in demographics allowed for a rich and varied set of perspectives on the regulatory aspects of the cannabis industry.

Table 1

The Results of Qualitative Analysis

Categories	Subcategories	Concepts (Open Codes)
Regulatory Complexity	Compliance Challenges	Licensing requirements, Inter-state trade restrictions, Taxation issues, Product testing standards
	Legal Uncertainties	Federal vs. state law conflicts, Changes in regulations, Legal status of cannabis products
	Market Entry Barriers	High capital requirements, Restricted supply chains, Limited banking services
Stakeholder Perspectives	Growers' Concerns	Crop quality control, Pesticide regulations, Environmental regulations
	Retailers' Strategies	Marketing limitations, Product diversification, Customer education
	Policymakers' Intentions	Public safety, Control illicit market, Revenue generation
	Industry Consultants' Insights	Market trends, Strategic planning, Compliance advisory
Innovation and Adaptation	Product Development	Novel product forms, Use of technology in cultivation, Organic certification
	Business Model Innovation	Direct-to-consumer sales, Subscription services, Online platforms
	Regulatory Adaptation Strategies	Lobbying efforts, Legal consultancies, Regulatory forecasting
Social and Economic Impact	Community Engagement	Local hiring practices, Community health initiatives, Educational programs
	Economic Contributions	Job creation, Tax revenues, Impact on local economies
	Cannabis as a Social Change Agent	Legalization impacts, Attitude shifts, Medicinal uses

In the qualitative analysis of semi-structured interviews conducted with stakeholders in the cannabis industry, four main themes emerged, each containing varying subthemes and associated concepts. Below is a detailed

breakdown of these findings, suitable for inclusion in a manuscript.

3.1. Regulatory Complexity

Compliance Challenges were frequently highlighted, encompassing issues such as "Licensing requirements," "Inter-state trade restrictions," "Taxation issues," and "Product testing standards." One grower noted, "Navigating licensing is like moving through a maze, each turn comes with its own set of rules."

Legal Uncertainties were also significant, characterized by "Federal vs. state law conflicts," "Changes in regulations," and the "Legal status of cannabis products." A retailer mentioned, "You're never sure if what's legal today will still be legal tomorrow."

Market Entry Barriers were noted by several participants, particularly "High capital requirements," "Restricted supply chains," and "Limited banking services." An industry consultant reflected, "Entering the cannabis market requires not just capital but also a high tolerance for regulatory and financial uncertainty."

3.2. Stakeholder Perspectives

Growers' Concerns included "Crop quality control," "Pesticide regulations," and "Environmental regulations." A grower expressed, "It's tough ensuring crop quality when you're constantly adjusting to new environmental regulations."

Retailers' Strategies covered "Marketing limitations," "Product diversification," and "Customer education." A retailer shared, "We have to be very creative in how we market our products due to advertising restrictions."

Policymakers' Intentions focused on "Public safety," "Controlling the illicit market," and "Revenue generation." A policymaker stated, "Our primary goal is to ensure public safety while maximizing the state's revenue from cannabis sales."

Industry Consultants' Insights included "Market trends," "Strategic planning," and "Compliance advisory." An industry consultant mentioned, "Most of my time is spent helping businesses understand and plan for current and future regulatory landscapes."

3.3. Innovation and Adaptation

Product Development subthemes were "Novel product forms," "Use of technology in cultivation," and "Organic certification." A manufacturer commented, "We're

always looking to develop new forms of cannabis products that meet organic certification standards."

Business Model Innovation included "Direct-to-consumer sales," "Subscription services," and "Online platforms." A retailer noted, "Direct-to-consumer sales have allowed us to build a loyal customer base and better predict our inventory needs."

Regulatory Adaptation Strategies were identified as "Lobbying efforts," "Legal consultancies," and "Regulatory forecasting." An industry consultant explained, "A big part of our strategy involves anticipating future regulations and preparing our clients accordingly."

3.4. Social and Economic Impact

Community Engagement consisted of "Local hiring practices," "Community health initiatives," and "Educational programs." A community leader stated, "We focus a lot on hiring locally to help boost the community's economy and provide education on the health benefits and risks of cannabis."

Economic Contributions included "Job creation," "Tax revenues," and "Impact on local economies." A policymaker claimed, "The cannabis industry has been a boon for local economies, creating jobs and significantly increasing tax revenues."

Cannabis as a Social Change Agent covered "Legalization impacts," "Attitude shifts," and "Medicinal uses." A medical professional observed, "The shift in attitudes towards cannabis, especially for medicinal use, has been dramatic over the past few years."

4. Discussion and Conclusion

The qualitative analysis of the semi-structured interviews with stakeholders in the cannabis industry revealed four main themes, each consisting of various subcategories that provide a detailed view of the regulatory landscape. The identified main themes are Regulatory Complexity, Stakeholder Perspectives, Innovation and Adaptation, and Social and Economic Impact. Each theme encapsulates distinct aspects of the industry's challenges and opportunities, providing insights into the multifaceted nature of cannabis regulation.

The theme of Regulatory Complexity encompasses three critical subcategories: Compliance Challenges, Legal

Uncertainties, and Market Entry Barriers. Compliance Challenges include concepts such as licensing requirements, inter-state trade restrictions, taxation issues, and product testing standards. Legal Uncertainties cover federal versus state law conflicts, changes in regulations, and the legal status of cannabis products. Market Entry Barriers consist of high capital requirements, restricted supply chains, and limited banking services. These categories highlight the intricate and often burdensome regulatory requirements faced by industry participants.

Under the theme of Stakeholder Perspectives, four subcategories were identified: Growers' Concerns, Retailers' Strategies, Policymakers' Intentions, and Industry Consultants' Insights. Growers' Concerns focus on crop quality control, pesticide regulations, and environmental regulations. Retailers' Strategies include marketing limitations, product diversification, and customer education. Policymakers' Intentions are concerned with public safety, controlling the illicit market, and revenue generation. Industry Consultants' Insights involve market trends, strategic planning, and compliance advisory. This theme reflects the diverse viewpoints and strategies employed by different stakeholders within the cannabis industry.

Innovation and Adaptation is characterized by three subcategories: Product Development, Business Model Innovation, and Regulatory Adaptation Strategies. Product Development includes concepts like novel product forms, use of technology in cultivation, and organic certification. Business Model Innovation encompasses direct-to-consumer sales, subscription services, and online platforms. Regulatory Adaptation Strategies involve lobbying efforts, legal consultancies, and regulatory forecasting. These categories underscore the creative and adaptive responses of industry stakeholders to navigate and leverage the regulatory framework effectively.

The final theme, Social and Economic Impact, consists of three subcategories: Community Engagement, Economic Contributions, and Cannabis as a Social Change Agent. Community Engagement covers local hiring practices, community health initiatives, and educational programs. Economic Contributions highlight job creation, tax revenues, and impact on local economies. Cannabis as a Social Change Agent focuses on legalization impacts, attitude shifts, and medicinal uses. This theme captures

the broader societal and economic influences of cannabis legalization, emphasizing both the positive and potential negative impacts.

The findings from this qualitative study highlight several key themes in the regulatory challenges and opportunities within the cannabis industry. Each theme reveals significant insights into how different stakeholders navigate the regulatory landscape, with notable implications for both policy and practice. This discussion aims to contextualize these results within the broader literature and examine their implications for future regulatory strategies.

Our findings suggest that stakeholders consistently face significant compliance challenges, legal uncertainties, and market entry barriers. These issues are particularly pronounced due to the complex interplay between state and federal laws, which often leads to a fragmented regulatory environment (Aaronson & Rothschild-Elyassi, 2021). For instance, the conflicts between federal and state law create a landscape of legal uncertainties that challenge business operations and strategic planning (Belackova et al., 2018). Our study participants articulated frustrations similar to those documented in the literature, where regulatory inconsistencies not only hinder business growth but also impact patient access to medicinal cannabis (Erku et al., 2022).

The diverse perspectives gathered from growers, retailers, policymakers, and industry consultants in our study illuminate the multifaceted impacts of cannabis regulation. For example, growers and retailers expressed concerns over strict and often fluctuating regulatory requirements that affect everything from crop quality to product marketing (Borodovsky & Budney, 2018). These concerns are reflective of broader trends noted in studies like that by Amroussia, Watanabe, & Pearson (2020), which discuss how regulatory environments influence business operations and consumer behaviors (Amroussia et al., 2020). Policymakers, on the other hand, focus on balancing public safety with economic benefits, echoing the priorities identified in the literature on public health impacts and economic contributions of cannabis legalization (Hall & Lynskey, 2020).

Innovation in product development and business models as a response to regulatory challenges represents a critical adaptive strategy employed by stakeholders. This adaptation aligns with the findings of Borodovsky et al. (2021), who argue for the importance of psychology in

shaping legal cannabis regulations that foster innovation while mitigating risks (Borodovsky et al., 2021). The emphasis on innovation in our study also correlates with global trends, where regulatory models need to adapt to both market demands and technological advancements (Souza et al., 2022).

The social and economic impacts identified in our study, such as community engagement and economic contributions, are significant. These findings support the argument that cannabis legalization can serve as a social change agent, potentially transforming local economies and community health (Wadsworth et al., 2022). However, as Robinson et al. (2020) highlight, the impact on societal perceptions, especially among adolescents, requires careful management to mitigate adverse outcomes (Robinson et al., 2020).

The results of this study align closely with existing research, underscoring the complexity and dynamic nature of cannabis regulation. The variability in stakeholder experiences and the ongoing adaptation to regulatory changes are consistent with the broader challenges documented across different jurisdictions (Rosic et al., 2021). This study contributes to the existing body of literature by providing nuanced insights into the lived experiences of stakeholders, which can inform both current and future regulatory frameworks.

This study uncovered four main themes that encapsulate the regulatory challenges and opportunities within the cannabis industry: Regulatory Complexity, Stakeholder Perspectives, Innovation and Adaptation, and Social and Economic Impact. Stakeholders across the spectrum, including growers, retailers, policymakers, and consultants, voiced concerns about compliance challenges, legal uncertainties, and market entry barriers. They also shared their strategies for navigating these challenges through innovation in products and business models, reflecting a dynamic response to an evolving regulatory landscape. Additionally, the economic and social implications of cannabis legalization were prominently discussed, highlighting both the positive impacts on local economies and the potential risks associated with public perceptions, especially among youth.

The findings from this qualitative study illuminate the intricate and multifaceted nature of cannabis regulation and its diverse impacts on various stakeholders. By systematically exploring these themes, the study

contributes valuable insights into the complexities of navigating the cannabis regulatory environment. These insights underscore the need for policies that are not only responsive to emerging challenges but also proactive in considering the future trajectory of the cannabis industry.

This study is not without its limitations. The sample size, while diverse, was limited to 26 participants, which may not fully capture the entire spectrum of experiences and perspectives within the broader cannabis industry. Additionally, the focus on qualitative data, though rich and insightful, limits the generalizability of the findings. The reliance on self-reported data also introduces potential biases that could influence the participants' responses.

Future research should aim to expand on the findings of this study by including a larger and more geographically diverse sample of participants. Quantitative studies could be employed to validate and generalize the qualitative insights obtained here. Moreover, longitudinal studies could provide valuable data on the evolution of regulatory impacts over time, particularly in regions that are newly legalizing cannabis.

For practice, the findings suggest that policymakers and industry leaders must consider a balanced approach to regulation that addresses both public health concerns and economic opportunities. Practical implications include the need for ongoing education and communication strategies to keep all stakeholders informed of regulatory changes. Additionally, fostering collaboration among growers, retailers, policymakers, and researchers can lead to more effective and sustainable regulatory frameworks. The insights from this study can guide the development of policies that support innovation while ensuring safety and compliance in the rapidly evolving cannabis market.

Authors' Contributions

Authors contributed equally to this article.

Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

Transparency Statement

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all individuals helped us to do the project.

Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding

According to the authors, this article has no financial support.

Ethical Considerations

In this research, ethical standards including obtaining informed consent, ensuring privacy and confidentiality were observed.

References

- Aaronson, E., & Rothschild-Elyassi, G. (2021). The Symbiotic Tensions of the Regulatory–carceral State: The Case of Cannabis Legalization. *Regulation & Governance*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12394>
- Adams, P., Rychert, M., & Wilkins, C. (2021). Policy Influence and the Legalized Cannabis Industry: Learnings From Other Addictive Consumption Industries. *Addiction*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/add.15483>
- Amroussia, N., Watanabe, M., & Pearson, J. L. (2020). Seeking Safety: A Focus Group Study of Young Adults' Cannabis-Related Attitudes, and Behavior in a State With Legalized Recreational Cannabis. *Harm Reduction Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12954-020-00442-8>
- Belackova, V., Shanahan, M., & Ritter, A. (2018). Mapping Regulatory Models for Medicinal Cannabis: A Matrix of Options. *Australian Health Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1071/ah16257>
- Borodovsky, J. T., & Budney, A. J. (2018). Cannabis Regulatory Science: Risk–benefit Considerations for Mental Disorders. *International review of psychiatry*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540261.2018.1454406>
- Borodovsky, J. T., Sofis, M. J., Grucza, R. A., & Budney, A. J. (2021). The Importance of Psychology for Shaping Legal Cannabis Regulation. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pha0000362>
- Erku, D. A., Greenwood, L.-M., Graham, M., Hallinan, C. M., Bartschi, J., Renaud, E., & Scuffham, P. A. (2022). From Growers to Patients: Multi-Stakeholder Views on the Use Of, and Access to Medicinal Cannabis in Australia. *PLoS One*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0277355>
- Hall, W., & Lynskey, M. T. (2020). Assessing the Public Health Impacts of Legalizing Recreational Cannabis Use: The US Experience. *World Psychiatry*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20735>
- Norman, Z. N. (2023). Cannabis and Regulatory Science: A Review of Challenges in Africa. *African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ajpp2022.5334>
- Robinson, J. M., Copeland, C., Pilin, M. A., Meyer, A., & Krank, M. D. (2020). The Impact of Cannabis Legalization in Canada on Adolescents' Perceptions. *Journal of Drug Issues*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022042620908200>
- Rosic, T., Sanger, N., Panesar, B., Foster, G., Marsh, D. C., Rieb, L., Thabane, L., Worster, A., & Samaan, Z. (2021). Cannabis Use in Patients Treated for Opioid Use Disorder Pre- And Post-Recreational Cannabis Legalization in Canada. *Substance Abuse Treatment Prevention and Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13011-021-00372-z>
- Souza, M. R. d., Henriques, A. T., & Limberger, R. P. (2022). Medical Cannabis Regulation: An Overview of Models Around the World With Emphasis on the Brazilian Scenario. *Journal of Cannabis Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42238-022-00142-z>
- Wadsworth, E., Fataar, F., Goodman, S., Smith, D. M., Renard, J., Gabrys, R., Jesseman, R., & Hammond, D. (2022). Consumer Perceptions of Legal Cannabis Products in Canada, 2019–2021: A Repeat Cross-Sectional Study. *BMC public health*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14492-z>