

OPEN PEER REVIEW

# Legal Professionals' Perspectives on the Challenges of Cybercrime Legislation Enforcement

Elvin Shukurov<sup>1\*</sup>  Uzeyir Jafarov<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup> Doctor of Philosophy in Law, Ibn Haldun University, Istanbul, Türkiye<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Law, Ibn Haldun University, Istanbul, Türkiye


\* Corresponding author email address: elvinshukurov@gmail.com

Received: 2023-07-03

Revised: 2023-08-02


Accepted: 2023-08-13

Published: 2023-10-01

**EDITOR:**Agwu Sunday Okoro 


Lecturer &amp; Clinical Law Administrator at Baze University Abuja, Abuja, Nigeria

agwuokoro@gmail.com

**REVIEWER 1:**Jingjing Wang 

Law School, Peking University (PKU), Peking, China

jingwang@vip.sina.com

**REVIEWER 2:**Thanuja Kulasooriya 

Department Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ruhuna, Mapalana, Kamburupitiya, Sri Lanka

thkulasooriya@soil.ruh.ac.lk

## 1. Round 1

### 1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

Consider providing clearer definitions or examples of the "ambiguity of laws" mentioned. Specific instances where legal professionals faced challenges due to these ambiguities could enhance understanding.

Expand on the selection criteria for the interviewees within the purposive sampling strategy. Detailing the criteria might add to the study's replicability and transparency.

Incorporate recent technological advancements that pose challenges to cybercrime legislation enforcement, such as blockchain or AI, to ensure the study's relevance.

Elaborate on effective prevention and awareness strategies, perhaps incorporating case studies or programs that have shown success in raising public and professional awareness about cybercrime.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

### 1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

Elaborate on the "jurisdictional issues" with examples of cases that illustrate these challenges. This could help in understanding the practical implications.

Offer more detailed examples or case studies illustrating "resource constraints" and "digital evidence management" challenges, enhancing the article's practical insights.

Suggest specific frameworks or models that have been successful in other contexts to improve interagency cooperation, providing a more solution-oriented approach.

Broaden the literature review to include a comparison with similar studies in different jurisdictions, offering a more global perspective on the challenges faced.

Provide more detailed recommendations on how legislation can be 'future-proofed' against rapid technological changes, potentially including examples of existing laws that have successfully adapted.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

## 2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.