




OPEN PEER REVIEW

# Compensatory Approaches in Islamic Jurisprudence and Iranian Law Regarding Damages Arising from Unauthorized Transactions

Ahmad. Tajik<sup>1</sup>, Mohsen. Hasanpour<sup>2\*</sup>, Ramazan. Dehghan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Law, Da.C., Islamic Azad University, Damghan, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Department of Islamic Studies, Ga.C., Islamic Azad University, Garmsar, Iran

\* Corresponding author email address: mhassanpour@iau.ac.ir


Received: 2025-06-09

Revised: 2025-09-15

Accepted: 2025-09-23


Published: 2026-03-01

## EDITOR:

Tahereh Ebrahimifar<sup>1</sup>

Head of Sociology Department, Faculty of Arts, Helwan University, Cairo, Egypt. Email: Tah.Ebrahimifar@iauctb.ac.ir


## REVIEWER 1:

Jingjing Wang<sup>1</sup>

Law School, Peking University (PKU), Peking, China

jingwang@vip.sina.com

## REVIEWER 2:

Mehmet Çevik<sup>1</sup>

Department of Social Sciences, Ankara University, Türkiye. Email: mehmetcevik@asbu.edu.tr

## 1. Round 1

### 1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The discussion would be enriched by situating this jurisprudential perspective in relation to contemporary legal scholars. Consider adding recent debates or critiques.

This comparison is useful but remains brief. Expand by explaining practical implications, e.g., how courts differentiate between unauthorized transactions and legitimate agency in complex commercial disputes.

While Qur'anic and hadith evidence are cited, the hermeneutical method used to derive liability is not explained. Clarify whether the interpretation is literal, analogical, or consensus-based.

Modern legal works (e.g., Emami 2017; Safaei 2017) are cited, but page numbers or specific chapters are not provided. Add pinpoint references where possible to strengthen scholarly accuracy.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

### 1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The paragraph conflates statutory provisions with doctrinal interpretations. Consider reorganizing into separate subparagraphs: (a) statutory rules, (b) doctrinal views, (c) judicial precedents.

This recommendation is sound but very general. Strengthen by suggesting specific legal literacy mechanisms (e.g., integration into school curricula, online platforms).

Several classical works (e.g., Majlisi 1981, Sheikh Hurr 1979) are cited but lack consistent transliteration standards. Standardize transliteration of Arabic names and titles according to a recognized style (e.g., IJMES).

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

## 2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.