

Investigation and Adjudication of Claims Related to Airline Liability

Mehdi. Alipour Asboui¹ , Mehdi. Fallah Kharyeki^{2*} , Abuzar. Ali Akbari Sefiddarin³ 

¹ PhD Student, Department of Private law, Ayatollah Amoli Branch, Islamic Azad University, Amol, Iran

² Assistant Professor, Department of Private Law, Ayatollah Amoli Branch, Islamic Azad University, Amol, Iran

³ Assistant Professor, Department of Law, Payam Noor University, Tehran, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: mehdifallahh@gmail.com

Received: 2025-02-28	Revised: 2025-04-24	Accepted: 2025-05-02	Published: 2025-07-01
EDITOR: Eman Shenouda  Associate Professor, Department of Psychology, Isfahan (Khorasgan) Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran. Email: ens01@fayoum.edu.eg			
REVIEWER 1: Yuyu Zheng  School of International Relations, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, London, United Kingdom. Email: yuyuzheng@gmail.com			
REVIEWER 2: Mustafa Kaan Tuysuz  Institute of Social Sciences, Siirt Universite, Siirt, Turkey. Email: AhmetKılıç@siirt.edu.tr			

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The sentence, “European countries were confronted with two critical issues: the liability of air carriers and the compensation for damages...” repeats concepts introduced in the abstract. You may revise for conciseness and avoid reiteration.

The statement, “passengers... are often unaware of the rights and privileges...” needs citation. Please provide empirical or scholarly sources to support this important claim.

The phrase “social construction rather than a strictly legal issue” (Plaintiffs in Claims Concerning Death and Bodily Injury) is conceptually vague. Consider clarifying or replacing with “a culturally contingent legal determination.”

Ensure all citations follow a consistent style. For example, references such as (Imanian Bidgoli, 2012) and (Masoumiyan, 2016) should be standardized and correspond to the reference list.

In the section on airline dissolution, you reference Article 27 of the Warsaw Convention. Please illustrate this with a real-world example or judicial ruling to enhance application.

You state that “determining this date is straightforward in passenger transport”, yet mention exceptions later. This contradiction should be clarified.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The claim that “most disputes... are resolved by the airlines themselves” is too broad. Specify jurisdiction or provide supporting statistics or literature.

The article highlights conflict between Sharia inheritance rules and international treaties. Consider elaborating on how courts reconcile these conflicts in practice—e.g., by prioritizing domestic law over treaty obligations.

In the statement “the actual owner of the goods all have the right to file a complaint”, please clarify whether this right is statutory or based on case law precedent.

You cite the Warsaw Convention extensively but do not mention how the Montreal Convention modernized these provisions. Consider including a comparative legal update to improve contemporaneity.

The paragraph starting with “Although the right to bring an action in multiple courts...” lacks clarity. Consider dividing this complex idea into multiple sentences or bullet points to aid comprehension.

You mention complications from heirs filing in different jurisdictions. This is an important point—consider citing comparative case law or proposing harmonization mechanisms.

The article discusses the two-year limit under Article 29 but omits whether force majeure or exceptional circumstances can toll the limitation. Consider expanding this aspect.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor’s decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief’s decision: Accepted.