ISSLP
Interdisciplinary Studies in Society, Law and Politics

OPEN PEER REVIEW

The Influence of Artificial Intelligence on Copyright Law

Ecem Çebi[1] Pınar Reisoğlu[*2] Esra Goktas[1]

[1] Faculty of Law, Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Rize, Turkey
[2] Faculty of Social Sciences, Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Rize, Turkey

* Corresponding author email address: pinarreisoglu@erdogan.edu.tr

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The introduction efficiently sets up the premise regarding AI and copyright law but could benefit from a clearer articulation of the research gaps your study aims to address. Detailing existing lacunae in the literature would strengthen the justification for your study.

While your review encompasses a range of perspectives, it would be enhanced by including more recent studies to ensure the discussion reflects the latest developments in AI, especially advancements post-2023.

The methodology section would benefit from more detailed explanations of the criteria used for selecting interview participants and the rationale behind the choice of thematic analysis. Clarifying these choices would enhance the replicability and transparency of your research.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The conclusion mentions the need for further research. Specifying potential research questions or areas that emerged from your study could guide future work in this domain and provide a clear direction for subsequent inquiries.

Your discussion on the sociopolitical dimensions of AI ethics touches on bias and discrimination. Expanding this to include recent examples and studies would provide a more comprehensive understanding of these critical issues.

Given the rapid evolution of AI technologies, specifying the types of AI technologies discussed (e.g., neural networks, machine learning) and their implications for copyright law could offer more targeted insights.

While briefly mentioned, explicitly stating the limitations of your study and the delimitations of your research scope would help readers understand the context and applicability of your findings.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.
Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.