

Explaining and Identifying the Role of Elections in the Governance of the Islamic Republic of Iran: A Study of the Electoral System of the Islamic Consultative Assembly

Sajad. Kazemi¹ , Mohammadreza. Akhzarian Kashani^{2*} 

¹ PhD Student, Department of Social Sciences, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

² Assistant Professor, Department of Islamic Political Thought, Faculty of Education and Islamic Thought, University of Tehran, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: sabetielvin@gmail.com

Received: 2024-09-16

Revised: 2024-09-28

Accepted: 2024-10-10

Published: 2024-12-01

The issue of electoral governance in the Islamic Republic of Iran, particularly the examination of electoral governance in the Islamic Consultative Assembly, poses significant challenges to the democratic functionality and legitimacy of the political system. The Iranian electoral system, with its unique combination of Islamic principles and republican governance, raises questions about inclusivity, transparency, and accountability in its electoral processes. This study aims to explain and identify the role of elections in the governance of the Islamic Republic of Iran by examining the electoral system of the Islamic Consultative Assembly. Since this research is qualitative in nature, it does not address how variables are examined or measured. The qualitative research model is presented through latent content analysis after qualitative coding.

Keywords: Governance, Assembly, Islamic Republic of Iran, Elections.

How to cite this article:

Kazemi, S., & Akhzarian Kashani, M. (2024). Explaining and Identifying the Role of Elections in the Governance of the Islamic Republic of Iran: A Study of the Electoral System of the Islamic Consultative Assembly. *Interdisciplinary Studies in Society, Law, and Politics*, 3(4), 221-230. <https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.isslp.3.4.20>

1. Introduction

The issue of electoral governance in the Islamic Republic of Iran, particularly in relation to the electoral governance of the Islamic Consultative Assembly, poses significant challenges to the democratic functionality and legitimacy of the political system. The Iranian electoral system, with its unique combination of Islamic principles and republican governance, raises questions about inclusivity, transparency, and accountability in its electoral processes. While regular elections are held in the country, the design and implementation of the electoral governance model for the Islamic Consultative Assembly may hinder effective representation of diverse voices, limit political

competition, and undermine the overall credibility of the electoral system. Therefore, a critical examination of electoral governance in the Islamic Consultative Assembly is essential for identifying potential shortcomings, evaluating their impact on democratic principles, and exploring ways to enhance inclusivity and accountability in the electoral processes of the Islamic Republic of Iran. These challenges present a complex issue against the ideals of democracy and the principles of representation, transparency, and accountability. The unique nature of Iran's political system, which integrates Islamic principles with republican governance, necessitates a comprehensive review of the electoral governance model to assess its adherence to democratic norms.



The primary concern is determining the extent to which the electoral processes in the Islamic Consultative Assembly foster genuine political competition, ensure fair representation of diverse voices, and uphold the fundamental rights of citizens. Issues such as candidate selection and approval, the influence of religious authorities, the transparency of electoral procedures, and accountability mechanisms in the electoral system are critical areas requiring thorough examination. Understanding the implications of these challenges is vital for proposing reforms and improvements that could enhance the inclusivity, fairness, and effectiveness of electoral governance in the Islamic Republic of Iran. Addressing these concerns, this research aims to contribute to the scientific understanding of electoral governance within a unique political context and provide insights for potential political interventions to strengthen democratic processes in Iran's electoral system (Ansari Dezfuli, 2013).

The study of political elections has a history spanning over fifty years (Ali Pour, 2015; Tahan Nazif & Kadkhoda Moradi, 2019). The electoral system serves as a mechanism to translate public votes into representative seats, and the choice of the electoral system significantly impacts the realization of genuine elections, the protection of electoral rights, and the formation of an efficient parliament (Emam Jom'eh Zadeh & Karami Rad, 2012). Today, many democratic countries use public votes as a political reference for electing officials in key sectors such as parliament, the presidency, city councils, municipalities, and others (Khorramshad, 2013). Electoral participation and public votes, as prominent examples of political behavior, are crucial subjects for studying societal transformations (Feysari et al., 2015). Many researchers consider political participation through voting or periodic electoral reforms as key indicators of a politically developed society (Ansari Dezfuli, 2013) and note that examining electoral systems and methods for selecting representatives for the Islamic Consultative Assembly demonstrates significant diversity (Zirayi et al., 2012). Among the characteristics and functions of an ideal electoral system are harmonizing diverse tendencies, facilitating peaceful power transitions, and creating an appropriate environment for healthy competition (Bayi Lashaki & Pishgahi Fard, 2009). Given the critical role of the electoral system in converting public votes into

representative seats, choosing an effective and efficient electoral system while considering political, social, cultural, and geographical conditions is fundamental to studying electoral law (Jahangiri, 2018).

Numerous questions arise regarding how to select the type of electoral system: What principles, rules, and criteria govern the choice of the electoral system for parliament? What advantages and disadvantages do the various tested electoral systems have? Considering the nature and structure of the Islamic Republic of Iran, what is the most effective and efficient electoral system for the Islamic Consultative Assembly? Thus, for the effectiveness of the electoral system and the role of parliament in governance, special attention must be given to the concepts and factors influencing governance.

From another perspective, the Islamic Consultative Assembly, as the entity responsible for enforcing proper governance through legislation, holds a superior position among other branches in various governance models due to its representation of diverse societal opinions, its collective decision-making processes, and its reliance on public votes (Davoudi et al., 2020). In this regard, examining the governance of law in the legislative system of the Islamic Republic of Iran is crucial (Ali Pour, 2015). Firstly, while the term "rule of law" is widely recognized and frequently utilized in legal and political discussions within the Islamic Republic and other countries, its precise meaning has often been left unexplored, particularly by politicians. Secondly, given that each country or political-legal system possesses distinct and sometimes conflicting characteristics compared to others, understanding and methodically explaining each model, theory, or concept is an unavoidable necessity for political and social development (Davoudi et al., 2020).

The role of the Islamic Consultative Assembly and its affiliated organizations is significant for various reasons. However, due to its inherent responsibilities, this expansive and knowledge-based organization must use insights from humanities and political sciences to legislate and support executive laws across various sectors. Coordination among the different sections within the Islamic Consultative Assembly itself requires legal and political expertise derived from social science theories.

Under such circumstances, the application of "electoral governance" principles becomes essential and must be managed based on its indicators. These indicators include: (1) accountability, which requires not only public officials in decision-making positions to consider the social consequences of their actions but also private and civil sectors to be accountable to stakeholders for organizational interests; (2) transparency, which entails the free flow of information accessible to all stakeholders involved in decision-making; (3) rule of law, which necessitates strong legal frameworks to ensure fairness, justice, and the protection of individual rights, supported by a robust judiciary and security system; (4) participation, where the opinions of all individuals (men and women) must be considered in decision-making processes, whether directly or indirectly; (5) responsiveness, which requires institutions and processes to serve all stakeholders in a timely manner, addressing their demands, expectations, and needs; (6) consensus orientation, which involves mediating different views and interests within society to reach a broad agreement on political values, the common good, and the best interests of the community; (7) efficiency and effectiveness, which emphasize meeting societal needs while making the best use of available resources, including the sustainable use of natural resources and environmental conservation; and (8) equity and inclusiveness, ensuring that all individuals, particularly vulnerable groups, have opportunities for growth and development.

Therefore, the design of an electoral governance model in the Islamic Republic of Iran must be based on these indicators. Accordingly, this study seeks to answer the question: What factors influence the role of elections in the governance of the Islamic Republic of Iran and the Islamic Consultative Assembly?

2. Literature Review

2.1. Electoral System

An electoral system, or voting system, refers to the method through which voters make choices among options. This process often takes the form of elections or referenda and deliberates on overarching policies (Khorramshad, 2013).

2.2. National Governance

Governance is defined as a set of traditions and institutions through which rulers operate, or as the methods of exercising power within a country's economic, political, and social institutions. It also refers to economic, political, and executive stewardship for managing a country's relations at all levels. This stewardship encompasses mechanisms, processes, and institutions through which citizens and stakeholders claim their legal rights, become aware of their obligations, and address differences among themselves (Khorramshad, 2013).

2.3. Electoral Governance

Electoral governance refers to the set of processes, structures, and mechanisms employed during the conduct of elections to ensure citizens' fundamental rights and freedoms, fairness, transparency in electoral processes, societal participation, and oversight of government officials, organizations, and politicians.

The conceptual definition of electoral governance is directly linked to the foundations of democracy and the principles of popular sovereignty. This concept reflects the authority and will of the people in electing their representatives. Electoral governance may include various stages such as candidate registration, the establishment of commissions, voting, vote counting, and result announcements. The primary goal of electoral governance is to create a transparent and fair process for electing representatives and to ensure public oversight and participation in this process.

In practice, electoral governance utilizes multiple tools and mechanisms to ensure that elections are conducted legitimately and transparently. These tools include candidate registration, the establishment and functioning of electoral commissions, conducting debates, supporting media freedom, and overseeing the election process through independent observers and monitors.

2.4. Islamic Governance and Its Implications

Islamic governance is founded on principles and values derived from Islamic teachings that influence the design and implementation of governance systems in Muslim-majority countries. Understanding Islamic governance

concepts is essential to comprehend the unique characteristics of the electoral governance model in the Islamic Republic of Iran.

Islamic governance emphasizes justice, consultation, and public participation (Emam Jom'eh Zadeh & Karami Rad, 2012). The concept of *shura* (consultation) plays a significant role in decision-making processes, including electoral governance within an Islamic framework (Jafari Nejad et al., 2011). The application of Islamic principles in electoral governance varies across countries, depending on their interpretations of Islamic laws and the political contexts in which they operate.

2.5. *The Electoral System of the Islamic Consultative Assembly*

The Islamic Consultative Assembly, commonly known as the *Majles*, is a fundamental component of the political structure of the Islamic Republic of Iran. Its electoral system combines direct and indirect elections, reflecting the country's unique governance model.

The Guardian Council, composed of religious scholars and legal experts, plays a crucial role in screening candidates and ensuring their adherence to Islamic principles (Bagheri et al., 2021). The authority and influence of this institution have significant implications for the design and functioning of electoral governance in the Islamic Consultative Assembly.

2.6. *Challenges in Electoral Governance in the Islamic Republic of Iran*

The design and implementation of electoral governance in the Islamic Republic of Iran face unique challenges and complexities. One key challenge is reconciling Islamic principles with democratic ideals in the electoral process. The Islamic Republic seeks to uphold religious values alongside democratic governance. However, interpretations and applications of Islamic teachings in the context of electoral governance can create tensions and debates (Tahan Nazif & Kadkhoda Moradi, 2019). Striking a balance that respects religious legitimacy while ensuring adherence to democratic principles remains an ongoing challenge.

Ensuring inclusivity and representation is another critical challenge in the electoral system of the Islamic Republic of Iran. While the country has mechanisms to screen candidates, it must also ensure a diverse and representative pool of candidates. The challenge lies in

balancing the requirements of Islamic legitimacy with the goal of fostering a wide range of voices and perspectives in the electoral process (Al-Rasheed, 2010). Addressing this challenge is essential for enhancing the legitimacy and inclusiveness of the electoral system.

The role of non-governmental actors in influencing the electoral process is another area of concern. Institutions such as the Guardian Council, composed of religious scholars and legal experts, play a decisive role in shaping the electoral landscape. Understanding the dynamics and power relations among these actors is crucial to grasp the complexities of electoral governance in the Islamic Republic of Iran (Khatami, 2014). The influence and decision-making authority of non-governmental actors can significantly impact the inclusiveness and fairness of the electoral process.

3. Methodology

The methodology of this research is structured to systematically collect, analyze, and interpret data, ensuring that the objectives of the study are effectively achieved. In the initial phase, a comprehensive literature review was conducted using library research methods. The researcher accessed a wide range of credible resources, including books, domestic and international research studies, and relevant articles from print and online platforms. Electronic databases such as *Sage Journals*, *Emerald Insight*, *Springer*, and others were utilized up to the year 2021. Additionally, Iranian research databases, including *Irandoc*, *Magiran*, *Jihad Daneshgahi*, *Civilica*, *Noormags*, and *Elmnet*, were employed to gather local academic insights. Information was extracted, classified, and interpreted using note-taking and card indexing techniques. This phase provided a robust theoretical foundation for the research by ensuring that the study was grounded in diverse and reliable sources.

Field data collection was carried out using semi-structured in-depth qualitative interviews. The interview questions were carefully developed in consultation with the supervising professor, consulting professors, and based on insights from similar theses, expert opinions, and reliable academic resources. These questions were researcher-designed and specifically tailored to align with the study's objectives. The semi-structured nature of the interviews allowed for flexibility in exploring participants' perspectives while

maintaining a structured approach to data collection. This method was particularly effective in gathering rich, detailed information from experts and participants relevant to the research focus.

To ensure the validity and reliability of the research, rigorous measures were applied. The validity of the study was enhanced through pilot testing, systematic design of interview questions, and seeking feedback from experts in the field. The careful selection of a representative sample further ensured the credibility of the findings. Reliability was achieved through qualitative validation processes, including face validity, which was employed during the qualitative phase. These steps ensured that the data collected and analyzed accurately reflected the research objectives and provided meaningful insights.

Data analysis was conducted using qualitative thematic analysis, focusing on hidden content to derive deeper meanings and patterns. This process involved systematic coding techniques, including open coding, axial coding, and selective coding. These methods allowed the researcher to identify key themes and relationships within the data, ensuring a thorough and detailed analysis. The use of these coding techniques provided a structured approach to understanding the data, leading to comprehensive findings.

To further enhance the accuracy and depth of the analysis, the MAXQDA 2020 software was utilized. This qualitative data analysis tool enabled the efficient organization and coding of expert interviews and participant feedback. MAXQDA 2020 supported the open, axial, and selective coding processes, ensuring that the data was systematically analyzed and the findings were reliable and robust. The software's capabilities also allowed for the visualization of connections and themes, contributing to a deeper understanding of the research data. This methodological framework integrates theoretical insights with qualitative fieldwork, ensuring a comprehensive and systematic approach to addressing the research objectives.

4. Findings

The present study employed a multi-stage coding process, drawing on both a thorough review of relevant academic articles and a series of semi-structured interviews with experts in the fields of electoral law, political science, and governance. The overall objective

was to identify and synthesize recurring themes, challenges, and potential solutions concerning electoral systems, governance structures, and democratic processes. Through open coding, axial coding, and selective coding, the study distilled a broad array of viewpoints and findings into coherent categories that illuminate the core issues surrounding electoral governance, the interplay between legal frameworks and democratic principles, and the broader implications for policymaking and institutional reform.

In the initial phase of open coding, the researcher carefully examined the findings of thirteen scholarly articles, each focusing on different facets of electoral systems and governance in varied regional contexts. Simultaneously, transcripts from the semi-structured interviews were reviewed for key terms, notable concerns, and patterns of thought. This preliminary stage was intentionally expansive, allowing for the emergence of a wide range of codes that captured every discernible topic in the texts. From Tayo's (2019) discussion of ethical and legal challenges in Nigerian elections, the researcher extracted open codes such as "lack of formal legal constraints," "media incitement," and "political violence." (Tayo). These codes revealed how insufficient regulation of journalistic practices and political discourse could catalyze unrest during election periods. Chi et al.'s (2012) emphasis on "citizen awareness," "electoral knowledge," and "voting participation" highlighted a direct, positive link between voter education and higher turnout, which shaped another set of open codes like "awareness-raising," "civic education," and "engagement strategies." (Chi et al., 2012).

Likewise, Rannie (2020) introduced codes around "open-list proportional representation," "closed-list proportional representation," and "legal reforms," providing insight into Indonesia's efforts to adjust its electoral framework over time (Rannie, 2019). Davoudi et al. (2020) produced codes including "parliamentary oversight," "legislative authority," and "good governance," reflecting the significance of a legislative body in monitoring legal processes and ensuring the effective implementation of regulations (Davoudi et al., 2020).

Through these open codes, further patterns arose from the remaining articles. Tahan Nazif and Kadkhoda Moradi's (2019) critical evaluation of the legal framework governing oversight in Iran's Islamic

Consultative Assembly elections offered codes such as “Guardian Council oversight,” “policy-based criteria,” and “electoral pathology.” (Tahan Nazif & Kadkhoda Moradi, 2019). Feysari et al. (2015) brought in “comparative election management,” “national election commission,” and “institutional independence.” (Feysari et al., 2015). Ansari’s (2013) focus on the distribution of electoral constituencies and the weight of votes suggested codes such as “unequal constituencies,” “vote-value disparity,” and “redistricting.” (Ansari Dezfuli, 2013). Finally, Khorramshad (2013) added crucial insight by confirming the majoritarian aspects of Iran’s parliamentary elections, contributing codes like “two-stage majority,” “plurality elections,” and “runoff system.” (Khorramshad, 2013). In addition to these texts, the semi-structured interviews provided a wealth of perspectives from practitioners, policymakers, and academics who reiterated many of these issues, bringing in real-world anecdotes and institutional knowledge that aligned with or expanded upon the identified codes.

Following the open coding stage, the study advanced to axial coding. Here, the researcher sought to cluster related codes around central categories that captured recurring themes across articles and interview data. This step yielded several overarching categories. One category, labeled “Institutional and Legal Frameworks,” integrated open codes such as “lack of formal legal constraints,” “constitutional anchors,” and “policy-based criteria.” Within this category, references to the need for robust electoral laws, oversight mechanisms, and the tension between older or rigid institutional structures and the necessity of modernization were consolidated. The second emergent category, “Democratic Principles and Practices,” aggregated codes like “voting participation,” “awareness-raising,” “procedural vs. substantive democracy,” and “power turnover.” This category highlighted the importance of civic education, transparent processes, and the conflict between ensuring broad-based participation and upholding religious or cultural norms. A third category, “Electoral System Design and Reform,” encompassed codes tied to “proportional representation,” “majoritarian runoff,” and “party system simplification,” illustrating the myriad ways that electoral structures impact political competition, representation, and policy outcomes.

The interviews dovetailed with these axial categories and frequently underscored the significance of fostering

heightened citizen engagement. Many respondents, for instance, expressed concerns about the complexities of multi-tier oversight, especially where religious and judicial bodies wield considerable influence over candidate eligibility. Others emphasized the necessity of balancing political competition with the preservation of certain cultural or religious stipulations. In contexts such as Iran, the significance of bodies like the Guardian Council and constitutional statutes shaped the electoral environment in ways that several interviewees described as “both protective and restrictive,” reflecting a dual function of preserving core principles while potentially curbing broader democratic inclusion. Meanwhile, participants with legal expertise frequently referenced the tension between maintaining national sovereignty through homegrown electoral guidelines and the potential benefits of adhering to international best practices or adopting external mechanisms like independent electoral commissions.

Through further refinement in the axial coding process, a fourth category emerged: “Political Polarization and Governance Dynamics.” This category brought together codes like “structural impediments to reform,” “polarization,” “two-party dominance,” and “partisan entrenchment.” The underlying theme was that certain systemic features—whether it be a two-party system enhanced by an electoral college or a highly centralized oversight body—can inadvertently stifle the emergence of a politically inclusive environment.

The final step, selective coding, aimed at synthesizing these categories into one overarching narrative that encapsulates the essence of electoral governance challenges and pathways to reform. The core phenomenon identified through this process could be termed the “Tension between Democratic Inclusivity and Structured Oversight.” Each of the axial categories contributed to explaining how electoral systems, in their pursuit of legitimacy and stability, can become sites of conflict and negotiation between different stakeholders, including governments, judicial bodies, religious authorities, citizens, and international observers. For instance, robust legal frameworks are universally championed for their stabilizing effects and capacity to protect the electoral process from corruption and manipulation. Yet, if these frameworks are overly restrictive, they can inhibit competitiveness and reduce the degree of representation for minority voices.

Many interview respondents, particularly those directly involved in organizing or monitoring elections, noted that inclusivity often stands in tension with rapid decision-making and oversight. There is a drive toward efficiency—particularly in nations dealing with frequent elections or large electorates—but this can clash with the deliberative process required for ensuring that every relevant voice is heard, be it from minority groups, smaller parties, or dissenting viewpoints. Similarly, while institutional oversight is vital for maintaining legitimacy, interviewees observed that such oversight can be perceived as paternalistic or controlling, thus diminishing trust if it is not exercised transparently.

In synthesizing these perspectives, the study's findings point to a series of interlinked recommendations. Legal frameworks must be dynamically structured and subject to ongoing review so they can adapt to changing social, technological, and political contexts. Independent bodies, such as electoral commissions, often garnered approval among both the authors of these articles and interviewed experts, who felt that impartial oversight can mitigate instances of bias. Building and maintaining public awareness—through civic education, transparent information dissemination, and media regulation—emerged as a critical mechanism for boosting voter participation and limiting the spread of misinformation or incitement. Interviewees from Nigeria, for example, echoed Tayo's (2019) concerns regarding the press's role in amplifying political tensions, suggesting that journalistic ethics need bolstering, not simply through legislation but also through professional norms and training (Tayo). At the same time, scholars and practitioners underscored that stakeholder inclusion, whether in candidate selection or policy formulation, can

yield a broader sense of ownership and diminish apathy or political estrangement.

From this final stage of selective coding, it becomes clear that the overarching challenge for electoral governance systems lies in balancing structured oversight and respect for cultural or religious principles with the essential democratic elements of inclusivity, representation, and fairness. Excessive rigidity in legal and institutional frameworks risks stifling competition and innovation, while excessive fluidity may open the door to corruption, clientelism, or political violence. Each case—be it the strongly polarized environment in the United States or the multi-party presidential system in Indonesia—provides unique insights into how best to navigate these tensions. In Iran, the integration of Islamic tenets and republican governance adds another dimension, as the institutional guardians of religious legitimacy must reconcile these beliefs with ongoing global calls for transparency and robust democracy.

Overall, the coding process and subsequent synthesis of data from the articles and the semi-structured interviews reveal that electoral governance is shaped by a constellation of factors, including institutional design, legal stipulations, social awareness, and cultural or religious norms. The solutions proposed in various contexts—such as establishing independent electoral commissions, improving the balance between majority and proportional representation, and investing in continuous civic education—underscore the adaptability required in any robust democratic framework. Achieving a well-functioning electoral system demands a careful calibration of these diverse elements, fostering a climate where elections serve not merely as a procedural formality but as a genuine reflection of the people's will and a catalyst for inclusive, responsive governance.

Table 1

Summary of the Results of Qualitative Analysis

Axial Codes	Coding Code Example	Description
Institutional and Legal Frameworks	Lack of formal legal constraints	Insufficient regulation on media and election-related activities leading to challenges.
Democratic Principles and Practices	Electoral knowledge and participation Procedural vs substantive democracy	Positive relationship between voter education and turnout, highlighting the importance of awareness. Focus on deeper values of equity and justice beyond procedural correctness.
Electoral System Design and Reform	Role of independent oversight bodies Legal frameworks and structural design	Importance of impartial bodies like electoral commissions in ensuring fair elections. Integration of legal frameworks to stabilize electoral processes and adapt to changes.

Political Governance Dynamics	Polarization and Tension between Inclusivity and Oversight	and	Polarization rigidity	and	systemic oversight	Examining the role of systems and policies in fostering or mitigating polarization.
			Structured challenges			Balancing inclusivity, fairness, and structured oversight in electoral systems.

5. Conclusion

The findings of this research highlight the multifaceted challenges and opportunities within electoral governance systems, offering insights into how legal, institutional, and democratic principles interact to shape the efficacy and legitimacy of electoral processes. This study has emphasized the critical tension between ensuring inclusivity and representation on one hand and maintaining structured oversight and stability on the other. This tension lies at the heart of the complexities surrounding electoral systems, particularly in contexts where cultural, religious, and political dynamics intersect.

A central conclusion of this study is that electoral governance is not merely a technical process but a deeply political and social phenomenon. The mechanisms of candidate selection, voter participation, and institutional oversight are inherently influenced by the broader socio-political context. For instance, the role of independent oversight bodies, while essential for ensuring transparency and accountability, must also navigate the delicate balance of upholding institutional neutrality without stifling democratic freedoms. Similarly, the design of electoral systems—whether proportional representation, majoritarian models, or hybrid frameworks—profoundly impacts the inclusivity of representation and the vibrancy of political competition.

One of the key takeaways is that legal frameworks governing elections must be both robust and adaptable. On the one hand, these frameworks need to provide a stable foundation for the conduct of elections, safeguarding against manipulation, corruption, and undue influence. On the other hand, they must remain flexible enough to adapt to evolving societal norms, technological advancements, and changing political landscapes. This adaptability is particularly crucial in contexts where rigid legal or institutional structures risk perpetuating exclusion or inequity, thereby undermining the legitimacy of the electoral process.

The study also underscores the importance of civic education and public awareness in fostering democratic

participation. Electoral knowledge emerges as a critical factor in empowering citizens to engage meaningfully in the democratic process. By enhancing voter education and promoting informed decision-making, electoral systems can bridge gaps in participation and representation. This is particularly vital in settings where low voter turnout or widespread political disengagement threatens the democratic legitimacy of elections. Therefore, integrating comprehensive civic education initiatives into electoral governance strategies is essential to cultivating a politically aware and active citizenry.

Another significant aspect explored in this research is the impact of electoral system design on political polarization and governance dynamics. The study reveals how certain structural features of electoral systems, such as the use of majoritarian models or proportional representation, can either exacerbate or mitigate political divisions. For example, systems that incentivize polarization or favor entrenched political elites can hinder the emergence of diverse voices and stifle meaningful competition. Conversely, systems designed to encourage collaboration, consensus-building, and broad-based participation can contribute to more inclusive and stable governance. Striking the right balance between these objectives requires careful consideration of the unique political, social, and cultural context within which an electoral system operates.

In addition to institutional and systemic factors, the role of non-governmental actors and independent oversight mechanisms emerges as a critical area of focus. These entities play a dual role in maintaining electoral integrity while fostering public trust in the process. However, the influence of such bodies must be carefully calibrated to avoid perceptions of bias or overreach. The findings suggest that a transparent and accountable approach to oversight is essential for ensuring both procedural correctness and broader public confidence in elections.

The research also highlights the necessity of addressing structural inequities and barriers to representation within electoral systems. Ensuring that all segments of society—particularly marginalized or underrepresented groups—have equitable access to the electoral process is

not only a matter of justice but also a fundamental requirement for democratic legitimacy. Achieving this goal requires both targeted policy interventions and a commitment to fostering an inclusive political culture. Such efforts may include implementing affirmative action measures, redesigning constituency boundaries, or creating mechanisms to amplify the voices of traditionally excluded groups.

One of the overarching conclusions of this study is that electoral governance operates as a dynamic interplay between legal, institutional, and societal forces. Effective governance systems must navigate these forces to strike a delicate balance between competing priorities, such as inclusivity and oversight, stability and flexibility, and tradition and innovation. While no single model or approach will suit all contexts, certain principles—such as transparency, accountability, and participatory inclusivity—can serve as guiding benchmarks for reform efforts.

The practical implications of these findings suggest several pathways for improving electoral governance. First, policymakers and practitioners should prioritize the development of independent and impartial oversight mechanisms that command public trust. Second, electoral laws and regulations must be revisited periodically to ensure they remain relevant and responsive to changing circumstances. Third, investing in civic education and public awareness campaigns can help to bridge gaps in voter engagement and enhance the overall legitimacy of elections. Finally, fostering a culture of inclusivity and fairness requires both structural reforms and sustained efforts to address underlying social inequities.

In conclusion, this research contributes to a deeper understanding of the complexities and nuances of electoral governance, offering valuable insights into the challenges and opportunities that define this critical aspect of democracy. While the specific dynamics and priorities may vary across contexts, the findings underscore the universal importance of designing electoral systems that uphold democratic principles, foster inclusivity, and maintain public trust. By addressing the tensions and contradictions inherent in electoral governance, policymakers and stakeholders can create more resilient and effective systems that reflect the will of the people and promote equitable, sustainable governance. This study serves as a call to

action for continuous reflection, innovation, and commitment to the principles of democracy in the design and implementation of electoral systems worldwide.

Authors' Contributions

Authors contributed equally to this article.

Declaration

In order to correct and improve the academic writing of our paper, we have used the language model ChatGPT.

Transparency Statement

Data are available for research purposes upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our gratitude to all individuals helped us to do the project.

Declaration of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Funding

According to the authors, this article has no financial support.

Ethical Considerations

In this research, ethical standards including obtaining informed consent, ensuring privacy and confidentiality were observed.

References

- Ali Pour, H. (2015). The Legal System of Elections and the Right to Vote in the Islamic Republic of Iran. *Strategic Studies*, 3, 63-88.
- Ansari Dezfali, D. M. (2013). Examining Aspects of the Parliamentary Election System in Light of the 9th Parliament Elections. *Constitutional Law*, 19, 17.
- Bagheri, M., Hossein Zadeh, A. H., & Shojaei, H. (2021). Examining the Impact of Social Trust on Women's Political Participation in Ahvaz Using the Structural Equation Modeling Approach. 8th National Conference on Modern Studies and Research in Humanities, Management, and Entrepreneurship in Iran, Tehran.
- Bayi Lashaki, M., & Pishgahi Fard, D. Z. (2009). Analysis of Iranian Electoral Behavior (Case Study: Nowshahr and

- Chalous Constituency in the 8th Parliamentary Elections). *New Perspectives in Human Geography*, 3, 93.
- Chi, H., Wang, H.-C., & Lin, C.-C. (2012). Knowledge of the Electoral System and Voter Turnout. *16*(1), 237-277.
- Davoudi, H., Bakhshayesh, A., & Arabiyan, A. (2020). The Role and Position of the Islamic Consultative Assembly in Good Governance. *Political Studies*, 43-59.
- Emam Jom'eh Zadeh, S. J., & Karami Rad, J. (2012). Analysis of Factors Influencing Electoral Behavior with a Focus on Iran. *Political Research*, 1, 9-36.
- Feysari, N., Taheri Fadafan, D., & Bagheri Farsani, M. (2015). Election Management in Iran: Quality and Performance Evaluation. *Strategic Policy Research*, 13, 9-34.
- Jafari Nejad, M., Babanasab, H., & Rabiei, S. (2011). Analysis of Electoral Behavior (Case Study: 10th Presidential Election in the Harris Constituency). *Political and International Studies Research*, 3(7), 159-206. <https://sid.ir/paper/172840/fa>
- Jahangiri, S. (2018). Examining Barriers to Political Development in Iran (Case Study: Pahlavi II Era). *Journal of the History of the Revolution*, 2(Third and Fourth Issues), 57-78.
- Khorramshad, R. Q. (2013). The Electoral System in the Islamic Consultative Assembly Elections. *Contemporary Political Studies*,
- Rannie, M. (2019). Legal regulations for the general election system in indonesia from the 1955 election to the concurrent election of 2019. *Nurani*, 20(2), 247-264. <https://doi.org/10.19109/nurani.v20i2.6927>
- Tahan Nazif, H., & Kadkhoda Moradi, K. (2019). Pathology of the Legal System Governing the Electoral Oversight Processes of the Islamic Consultative Assembly in Light of General Election Policies. *Public Law Studies*, 1127-1148.
- Tayo, P. *Ethical and Legal Challenges of Election Reporting in Nigeria: A Study of Four General Elections, 1999-2011*. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-2095-5.ch005>