


OPEN PEER REVIEW

Redefining Human Rights in the Age of Globalization: Perspectives and Challenges

Yuyu Zheng^{1*} ¹ School of International Relations, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, London, United Kingdom




* Corresponding author email address: yuyuzheng@gmail.com

Received: 2023-01-02

Revised: 2023-02-11

Accepted: 2023-02-15

Published: 2023-04-01

EDITOR:Yusuf Mohamed Department of Architecture and City Design, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia
yusufmohamed@kfupm.edu.sa**REVIEWER 1:**Fatimah Sahdan Faculty of Social and Political Sciences (FISIP) Diponegoro University, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
fatimahsahdan@rocketmail.com**REVIEWER 2:**Thanuja Kulasooriya Department Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ruhuna, Mapalana, Kamburupitiya, Sri Lanka
thkulasooriya@soil.ruh.ac.lk

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The letter would benefit from improved coherence and flow between sections. Transition sentences at the end of each paragraph could help guide the reader more smoothly from one topic to the next, creating a more cohesive narrative.

While the letter calls for a redefined approach to human rights, it could offer more specific recommendations for how this can be achieved. For example, proposing concrete steps for integrating economic justice into human rights advocacy or suggesting mechanisms for ensuring digital inclusivity could make the recommendations more actionable.

The letter occasionally presents a somewhat uncritical view of the potential for redefining human rights. A more critical evaluation of the challenges and potential downsides of such a redefinition, including possible resistance from various stakeholders, would provide a more balanced perspective.

To strengthen the argument, the letter could engage more with counterarguments or alternative perspectives on redefining human rights in the globalization era. This would demonstrate a comprehensive understanding of the debate and enrich the discussion.

The concluding remarks could be enhanced by more explicitly connecting the discussion back to the initial thesis statement and by providing a clearer summary of the main findings and recommendations. Additionally, a stronger emphasis on the urgency of the issue and a call to specific actions could elevate the impact of the conclusion.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The analysis of child marriage laws and populist nationalism offers valuable insights but could be developed further. A more detailed exploration of how these issues intersect with globalization and human rights redefinition would enrich the discussion. For example, analyzing specific case studies where legal frameworks have successfully balanced local customs with global human rights standards could offer practical solutions to the challenges identified.

The concluding section is compelling but could be strengthened by summarizing the key points more clearly and offering a more detailed call to action. Suggesting specific strategies or frameworks for engaging scholars, practitioners, and policymakers in the dialogue could make the conclusion more impactful.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.