

OPEN PEER REVIEW

# Globalization of Cyber Criminal Law Norms and Its Impacts on the Iranian Legal System

Amirhossein. Javanbakht<sup>1</sup> Abolghasem. Khodadi<sup>2\*</sup> Mohammad. Jafar Saed<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Criminal Law and Criminology, Central Tehran Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Department of Criminal Law and Criminology, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran

\* Corresponding author email address: a.khodadi@gmail.com

Received: 2024-09-28

Revised: 2024-11-13

Accepted: 2024-11-20

Published: 2024-12-01

## EDITOR:

Cavid Qasimov

Prof, Faculty Of Letters Department Of History, Van Yuzuncu Yil University, Van, Turkiye

cavidqasimov@yyu.edu.tr

## REVIEWER 1:

Mustafa Kaan Tuysuz

Institute of Social Sciences, Siirt Universite, Siirt, Turkey. Email: AhmetKılıç@siirt.edu.tr

## REVIEWER 2:

Vanessa Indama

Public Administration Department, Basilan State College, Isabela City, Basilan, Philippines. Email: vanesindama@gmail.com

## 1. Round 1

### 1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

In the introduction, the sentence "Globalization is necessarily accompanied by the development of both international intergovernmental law and transnational law" would benefit from a brief mention of key international treaties or agreements relevant to cyber criminal law to ground the discussion in legal reality.

In "International Cyber Criminal Law and Global Governance," the sentence "One potential risk is the erosion of fundamental distinctions between state institutions and private sectors" should include specific incidents or legal disputes where this erosion has occurred, providing empirical support to the argument.

The paragraph beginning with "Mechanisms for the Globalization of Law" introduces comparative law as a tool but lacks specific comparative studies or legal systems that have influenced Iranian cyber criminal law, which would provide a practical dimension to the discussion.

In "Unification," the text mentions the "1930 Geneva Convention on Commercial Instruments" but does not explain its relevance to cyber criminal law, which should be clarified to avoid confusing readers about the article's focus.

In the "Harmonization" section, the sentence "Cyber criminal law, as reflected in regional and international instruments..." would be improved by citing specific international cyber crime conventions like the Budapest Convention for concrete evidence.

In the conclusion, the phrase "significant transformations have occurred in the field of cyber criminal law among states" is too general and needs specific examples of transformations within the Iranian legal system to substantiate the claim.

The paragraph discussing "Civil society has also driven the internationalization of cyber criminal law..." lacks a mention of specific Iranian civil society organizations or initiatives, which would enhance the article's relevance to its context.

In the conclusion, the statement "The internationalization of cyber criminal law has introduced new challenges, such as the erosion of democratic legitimacy" should include a discussion of how this has specifically impacted Iran's legal system or public perception.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

## 1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

In the section "The Concept of Globalization in Cyber Criminal Law," the text mentions, "Globalization involves 'deterritorialization'..." but does not provide specific legal cases or examples of deterritorialization in cyber criminal law, which would enhance the reader's understanding.

The section on "Challenges of Global Cyber Criminal Law" introduces the distinction between international and global cyber criminal law but lacks a clear example or case study that illustrates this distinction in practice, which would improve the theoretical discussion.

In the paragraph starting with "The subsequent discussion will address the concept of 'transnationalism'..." the historical reference to Philip C. Jessup's term is useful, but the discussion needs more contemporary examples of transnational cyber criminal law issues to reflect current realities.

In the section "International Cyber Criminal Law and Multilevel Governance," the mention of the UN Charter as a framework for global constitution is significant but requires references to specific articles or legal precedents that support this claim.

In "Foundations of Globalizing Cyber Criminal Law," the sentence "Presently, individuals are internationally protected as individuals rather than as citizens of a particular state" should be supported by referencing international human rights instruments such as the UDHR or ICCPR for clarity.

In "Limiting National Sovereignty," the phrase "States... have effectively transitioned from impermeable sovereignty to permeable sovereignty" needs an example of how Iranian cyber criminal law has reflected this transition through international cooperation or treaty obligations.

The section on "Modification of the Principle of Non-Intervention" discusses the UN Charter but would benefit from including a specific case where non-intervention was overridden due to cyber criminal law considerations, to illustrate the principle's modification.

In "Globalization of Cyber Criminal Law and Constraints on State Sovereignty," the reference to Christian Rose-Smith's structuralist perspective is useful but should include a direct quote or summary of his argument from the original source to ensure academic rigor.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

## 2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.