

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Realization and Termination of Arbitration and the Extent of Judicial Oversight in the Enforcement and Annulment of Arbitral Awards in Iranian Law

Somayeh. Tafaghodi Zare¹ ¹ Faculty member, Department of Law, Baharestan Branch, Payam Noor University, Baharestan, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: somaye_tafaghodi@pnu.ac.ir

Received: 2024-06-23

Revised: 2024-09-10

Accepted: 2024-09-18


Published: 2024-10-01

EDITOR:Sandeep Kotwal 

Knowledge Management Division, National Health Systems Resource Centre, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, New Delhi, India. Email: sandkotwal@gmail.com

REVIEWER 1:Kaushalya Korlage 

Assistant Lecturer in Sociology at University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka. Email: korlage@iouc.cmb.ac.lk

REVIEWER 2:Vanessa Indama 

Public Administration Department, Basilan State College, Isabela City, Basilan, Philippines. Email: vanesindama@gmail.com

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The introduction could better contextualize the unique challenges of arbitration in Iranian law. For example, after mentioning "historical significance" and "legal provisions," briefly address why Iranian arbitration requires this specific analysis.

The conclusion could synthesize the findings more effectively. Expand the discussion on how Iranian arbitration law can benefit from international practices.

When introducing judicial, contractual, and mixed theories of arbitration (e.g., "Regarding how legal systems address arbitral awards..."), provide more context on their relevance to the Iranian framework.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

In the section titled "Conditions for the Termination of Arbitration," the flow between mutual consent and other causes is abrupt. Use transition sentences to connect these ideas better.

The comparative perspective mentioned in the introduction should be explicitly revisited in later sections. In particular, the discussion on Iranian and international arbitration (e.g., the paragraph beginning with "This research aspires to detail these frameworks...") would benefit from greater integration of comparative findings.

The paragraph beginning "Judicial oversight is exercised at two critical stages..." would benefit from examples of specific Iranian cases or judgments to ground the discussion in practical reality.

In "Conditions for the Termination of Arbitration," clarify terms like "finality and repetition" with examples or scenarios to improve reader comprehension.

The discussion of public policy in the section "Judicial Oversight in the Enforcement and Annulment of Arbitral Awards in Iranian Law" (starting with "Legal systems also recognize 'public policy'...") could explore what constitutes public policy under Iranian law for more specificity.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.