




OPEN PEER REVIEW

Jurisprudential and Ethical Foundations of War and Its Conclusion from the Perspective of the Quran and Sunnah

Mohammad. Galavi¹  Seyed Mohsen. Razmi^{2*}  Hossein. Razmi³ 

¹ PhD Student, Department of Fiqh and Fundamentals of Islamic Law, Farhangian University, Tehran, Iran

² Associate Professor, Department of Jurisprudence and Fundamentals of Islamic Law, Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran

³ Associate Professor, Department of Jurisprudence and Fundamentals of Islamic Law, Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mashhad, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: razmi@ninfan.com


Received: 2024-01-09

Revised: 2024-03-14

Accepted: 2024-03-18


Published: 2024-03-22

EDITOR:

Yusuf Mohamed 


Department of Architecture and City Design, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia
yusufmohamed@kfupm.edu.sa

REVIEWER 1:

Mehmet Yaşar 

Department of Sociology, Boğaziçi University, 34342 Bebek, Istanbul, Turkey
mehmetyasardo@bogazici.edu.tr

REVIEWER 2:

Sandeep Kotwal 

Knowledge Management Division, National Health Systems Resource Centre, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, New Delhi, India
sandkotwal@gmail.com

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

The quote from the Qur'an is compelling; however, it could be more impactful if connected explicitly to the research objectives. Explain how this verse underpins the study's focus on jurisprudential principles.

Several references to Shia scholars are made without sufficient explanation of their historical or theological significance. A brief contextualization of key figures like Allamah al-Hilli would strengthen the argument.

The discussion about defensive measures is comprehensive but could be improved by including more contemporary examples, such as modern-day applications in international conflicts. This would enhance relevance.

The reliance on quotes from classical jurists is well-done, but the paper could benefit from a more detailed analysis of how these teachings align or conflict with international humanitarian law.

The prohibition against harming civilians is vital. However, the discussion could be expanded to compare these Islamic ethical standards with similar principles in other major world religions.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The review mentions differing juristic opinions on jihad but could be enhanced by categorizing these views systematically, e.g., defensive versus offensive jihad. This would improve clarity and reader comprehension.

The text discusses various narrations from Imam Ali. Consider briefly comparing these views with Sunni perspectives to provide a balanced understanding and highlight theological diversity.

When discussing verses that prioritize peace, it would be beneficial to include a critical analysis of how these interpretations have evolved in modern Islamic jurisprudence. This would add depth to your argument.

The text explains the conditions under which ceasefires are acceptable. It would be helpful to add a discussion on the implications of these principles in current global peace negotiations.

The concept of 'ghadr' (treachery) is introduced but not fully unpacked. Consider elaborating on how this prohibition impacts both historical and contemporary military strategies.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.