




OPEN PEER REVIEW

Evidence for the Prohibition of Muslim Asylum to Non-Muslims and its Political and Social Consequences

Aliasghar. Ghasemi¹ , Mohammad. Adibymehr^{2*} , Davood. Dadashnejad Delshad³ 

¹ Department of Jurisprudence and Principles Islamic law, Damghan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Damghan, Iran

² Associate Professor, Department of Jurisprudence and Principles of Islamic Law, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

³ Assistant Professor of Jurisprudence and Principles of Islamic law, Damghan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Damghan, Iran

* Corresponding author email address: Madiby@ut.ac.ir


Received: 2024-02-29

Revised: 2024-04-19

Accepted: 2024-04-24


Published: 2024-04-29

EDITOR:

Sandeep Kotwal 


Knowledge Management Division, National Health Systems Resource Centre, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, New Delhi, India. Email: sandkotwal@gmail.com

REVIEWER 1:

Kaushalya Korlage 

Assistant Lecturer in Sociology at University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka. Email: korlage@iouc.cmb.ac.lk

REVIEWER 2:

Vanessa Indama 

Public Administration Department, Basilan State College, Isabela City, Basilan, Philippines. Email: vanesindama@gmail.com

1. Round 1

1.1. Reviewer 1

Reviewer:

In the section discussing social consequences, the article touches on cultural differences. However, this discussion could benefit from specific examples or case studies of countries where Muslim asylum seekers face discrimination. The inclusion of such examples would enhance the argument's depth.

In the section quoting verse 28 of Surah Al-Imran, the analysis of the term "awliya" is thorough, but it would be helpful to explore alternative interpretations by different schools of Islamic jurisprudence. This would give a more holistic understanding of the issue.

There is a significant focus on the cultural disconnect between Muslim migrants and their host countries. This section could be strengthened by discussing the role of diasporas in maintaining Islamic values in non-Muslim states, along with the challenges faced.

The Hadith of Superiority is well-analyzed, but the conclusion might benefit from referencing additional hadith or Islamic scholars who offer alternative interpretations, allowing for a more nuanced discussion.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

1.2. Reviewer 2

Reviewer:

The introduction provides a strong foundation for the research, but clarity in linking the issue of asylum to non-Muslim states with its political and social consequences could be improved. I suggest adding a transition sentence between the problem statement and the research objectives.

In the paragraph discussing the prohibition of non-Muslims having dominion over Muslims, it would be useful to elaborate on the jurisprudential differences between Sunni and Shia perspectives, if any. This would strengthen the academic rigor.

The political consequences of Muslim asylum to non-Muslim countries are mentioned briefly. This section would benefit from a more detailed analysis of contemporary political frameworks in Europe or the U.S. that directly affect Muslim migrants. You may consider citing recent case studies or reports.

The commentary on this verse could be expanded to include perspectives from modern Islamic scholars who have written on its relevance in contemporary international relations. This would bridge classical interpretations with modern applications.

Authors revised the manuscript and uploaded the document.

2. Revised

Editor's decision: Accepted.

Editor in Chief's decision: Accepted.